Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 394 (1990) 365-384 Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne JOM 20765

Some remarkable reactions of an open Ru, cluster *

Michael I. Bruce

Jordan Laboratories, Department of Physical and Inorganic Chemistry, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia 5001 (Australia)

(Received January 19th, 1990)

Abstract

The current status of our studies of the chemistry of the complex $Ru_5(\mu_5-C_2PPh_2)(\mu-PPh_2)(CO)_{13}$, which contains an open Ru_5 cluster interacting with the diphenylphosphinoethynyl group, is summarised. Ready cleavage of the C-P bond occurs, to give a cluster-bound C_2 unit which undergoes a number of remarkable reactions and there are concomitant rearrangements of the metal core.

The chemistry of group 15 ligand derivatives of cluster carbonyls, particularly of ruthenium and osmium, shows that coordination activates the group 15 ligand towards C-H and C-P bond cleavage [1]. These reactions often generate unusual molecular species which are stabilised by coordination to the cluster framework. Studies of these reactions have shown that the ease of breaking the C-P bonds decreases in the order $C(sp)-P > C(sp^2)-P > C(sp^3)-P$, as found in the extensive comparative studies made by several groups [2,3], and elegantly systematised by Carty and coworkers [4].

As a result of the discovery of a method of synthesising group 15 ligand-substituted derivatives of $Ru_3(CO)_{12}$ and other cluster carbonyls selectively and in high yield under mild conditions [5], it has been possible to investigate the chemistry of selected examples of these complexes. In a rapidly increasing number of cases, subsequent reactions of these species occur, to give unusual and novel products.

An interesting derivative is that obtained by attaching an Ru₃ cluster to each end of the 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethyne ligand $[C_2(PPh_2)_2, dppa]$ [6]. Expecting that mild heating of the resulting hexanuclear complex, $\{(OC)_{11}Ru_3\}PPh_2C\equiv$ $CPPh_2\{Ru_3(CO)_{11}\}$ (1) (Scheme 1), might induce (a) C-P bond cleavage and (b) interaction of the C=C triple bond with the cluster framework, we heated a solution of 1 in toluene for 90 min; from the resulting black solution, the very dark

^{*} Dedicated to Gordon Stone on the occasion of his 65th birthday, with best wishes. The author spent nine pleasant and stimulating years at Bristol.



Scheme 1.

brown-black pentanuclear cluster $\operatorname{Ru}_5(\mu_5-C_2\operatorname{PPh}_2)(\mu-\operatorname{PPh}_2)(\operatorname{CO})_{13}$ (2) was isolated in 85% yield [6]. In this condensation, the sixth metal atom is eliminated as 'Ru(CO)₄', and recovered as $\operatorname{Ru}_3(\operatorname{CO})_{12}$. The molecular structure of 2 (Fig. 1) consists of a five-atom cluster arranged as three edge-fused triangles; the planes of the two outer ones are inclined at 27.6 and 45.7° to the central one. One edge is bridged by the PPh₂ group formed by cleavage of one of the C(*sp*)-P bonds in 1; the remaining C₂PPh₂ ligand occupies the cavity formed by the metal atoms, the C₂ fragment being attached to four of them, while the PPh₂ group bridges C^β and the fifth Ru atom. The osmium analogue of 2 has been made in a similar manner by pyrolysis of {Os₃(CO)₁₁}₂{ μ -C₂(PPh₂)₂} (refluxing xylene, 2.5 h); it has essentially the same structure as that of 2 [7].

Complex 2 has been characterised independently by Daran and coworkers [8] among the products of the thermal reaction between $\operatorname{Ru}_3(\operatorname{CO})_{12}$ and dppa; under these conditions (refluxing tetrahydrofuran), the tetranuclear complexes { $\operatorname{Ru}_2(\mu-\operatorname{PPh}_2)(\mu-\operatorname{C}_2\operatorname{PPh}_2)(\operatorname{CO})_5$ } (3) and $\operatorname{Ru}_4(\mu_4-\operatorname{PPh})(\mu_4-\operatorname{PhC}_2\operatorname{PPh}_2)(\mu-\operatorname{CO})_2(\operatorname{CO})_8$ (4) were also obtained (see below) [9].





Fig. 1. Molecular structure of 2.

The high yield preparation of 2 provided an opportunity to study the chemistry of a pentanuclear complex in detail, both in respect of the core rearrangements and also of the expected reactivity of the C_2 moiety which nestles in the cavity formed by four metal atoms. The PPh₂ group attached to both the C_2 fragment and the fifth metal atom serves to prevent the facile closure of the skeleton to the more stable square pyramidal array, as found for pentanuclear μ_4 -C₂R complexes (see below).

Part of the inherent interest in pentanuclear complexes relates to the wide range of skeletal rearrangements that are not only possible but are actually observed. This contrasts with the limited range available for M_4 clusters, and with the relative stability of the octahedral and trigonal prismatic geometries for M_6 clusters, which seem to preclude ready rearrangements to other shapes. Figure 2 illustrates some of the M_5 clusters that are related by cleavage of one M-M bond a time. A recent statistical study [10] suggested that the M_5 clusters occupy a position in which the bonding is intermediate between localised ($M_{\leq 4}$) and delocalised ($M_{\geq 6}$).

Most of the previous studies of the chemistry of pentanuclear ruthenium complexes commenced with $Ru_5C(CO)_{15}$ (5), which contains a square pyramidal Ru_5



Fig. 2. Possible core geometries for M_5 clusters. Each structure contains one less M-M bond than those in the row above it.

core containing a C atom in the square face [11], the related species $Ru_5(\mu_4$ -PR)(CO)₁₅ (6) [12] and $Ru_5(\mu_4$ -S)(CO)₁₄ (7) [13]. A characteristic reaction of the carbido cluster 5 is opening of the square pyramidal skeleton to the 'arrowhead' (or 'bridged butterfly') configuration, a reaction often observed when ligands are added to this cluster (Scheme 2). Of particular interest in the present context are the results obtained with derivatives of the phosphinoalkynes Ph₂C=CR (R = Prⁱ, Ph) [14]. Although a complete survey of this chemistry is not appropriate here, the formation and subsequent reactions of complexes such as $Ru_5(\mu_4$ -C₂R)(\mu-PPh₂)(CO)₁₃ (R =



Prⁱ, Ph) (8, Scheme 3) [15] are related to our own studies. In particular, the readily reversible addition of CO to 8 to give 9 contrasts with the stability of 2 (see below).



Pyrolysis. In addition to the tetranuclear complex mentioned above, we have also isolated small amounts of the complexes $\operatorname{Ru}_4(\mu_4-C_2)(\mu-PPh_2)_2(CO)_{12}$ (10), $\operatorname{Ru}_5(\mu_5-C_2PPh_2)(\mu-PPh_2)(CO)_{15}$ (11t), $\operatorname{Ru}_5(\mu_4-PPh)\{\mu_3-CCPh(PPh_2)\}(CO)_{12}$ (12) and $\operatorname{Ru}_5(\mu-H)(\mu_4-PPh)(\mu_3-PPh)\{\mu_4-CCPh(C_6H_4)\}(CO)_{10}$ (13) in large scale (ca. 2 g) preparations of 2; minor products, which have not yet been fully characterised, include $\operatorname{Ru}_5(CO)_{13}(dppa^*)$ and $\operatorname{Ru}_8(CO)_{17}(dppa^*)^*$.

It appears that 4 forms by the condensation of 1 in the presence of small amounts of CO. Its formation involves the cleavage of a C(sp)-P bond and migration of a phenyl group from PPh₂ to C^{α} of the C₂PPh₂ ligand, together with elimination of one Ru atom; the PPh group caps one face of the Ru₄, core which has a distorted rhomboidal geometry. The phosphino-alkyne caps the opposite face. A similar migration of phenyl from P to C occurs on pyrolysis of (8, R = Ph), which gives Ru₅(μ_4 -PPh)(μ_5 -PhC₂Ph)(CO)₁₃ (14; Scheme 3) [15].

Pyrolysis of 2 in refluxing toluene for 2.5 h afforded sequentially $\operatorname{Ru}_5(\mu_4$ -PPh){ μ_3 -CCPh(PPh₂)}(CO)₁₂ (12) and $\operatorname{Ru}_5(\mu-H)(\mu_4$ -PPh)($_{m3}$ -PPh){ μ_4 -CCPh (C₆H₄)}(CO)₁₀ (13) (Scheme 4) [16]. In the former, the PPh group capping the square face of the Ru₅ square pyramid is formed by formal migration of a phenyl group to C^{β} of the C₂PPh₂ ligand in 2, forming a phosphino-vinylidene ligand. Further degradation forms 13 by cleavage of the vinylidene–P bond in 12 and

^{*} The symbol (dppa *) is used to indicate the presence of the elements of dppa in the cluster complex, usually determined by FAB MS; the ligand(s) do(es) not necessarily correspond to structurally intact dppa.





Scheme 4. Formation of 12 and 13 from 2.

migration of one of the Ph groups to C^{β} , with concomitant metallation of one of the two vinylidene phenyl groups. One PPh group caps an Ru₃ face of the Ru/Ru₂CRuP/Ru pentagonal bipyramid resulting from formal insertion of the vinylidene C^{α} into one of the Ru(apical)-Ru(basal) bonds.

Complex 13 reacts with MeOH during 24 h to give $\operatorname{Ru}_5(\mu_4\text{-PPh})(\mu_4\text{-CCPh}(C_6H_4)){\mu-PPh}(OMe)(CO)_{11}$ (15) (Scheme 5). Of interest is the Ru_5CP pentagonal bipyramid that forms the core of both these complexes. The clusterbound hydride in 13 is thought to cap a triangular face; it is lost during the reaction



Scheme 5. Reaction of 13 with MeOH to form 15.





with methanol. Clusters with related pentagonal bipyramidal structures have been obtained from reactions of alkynes with iron-phosphinidene clusters [17].

Reactions with CO. The reaction between 2 and CO gave two isomers of $\operatorname{Ru}_5(\mu_5-\operatorname{C}_2\operatorname{PPh}_2)(\mu-\operatorname{PPh}_2)(\operatorname{CO})_{15}$ (11k and 11t; Scheme 6), each containing the same dimetallated triangular or 'scorpion' core, formed by cleavage of two Ru-Ru bonds in 2 [18]. In 11k, the so-called 'kinetic' isomer, Ru(2) achieves an 18-electron configuration from the PPh₂ and four CO ligands; the Ru(1)-Ru(2) separation is short enough (3.009(1) Å) for there to be a bonding interaction of the Ru \rightarrow Ru donor type. This isomer reacts with CO to give the 'thermodynamic' isomer 11t, in which a subtle exchange of CO and PPh₂ ligands has occurred. Isomerisation does not occur on heating in the absence of CO, and we suggest that the reaction involves displacement of the μ -PPh₂ from Ru(3), followed by swinging over of the phosphido group to bridge the Ru(1)-Ru(2) bond, and elimination of CO from Ru(1). Thermolysis of 11k, but not of 11t, regenerates 2. The C₂PPh₂ ligand is attached to the Ru₃ triangle in the familiar μ_3, η^2 -(\bot) fashion; there is also a substantial interaction of C^{β} with Ru(2) (2.324(4) Å in 11k, 2.261(7) Å in 11t).

Heating 2 under CO pressure overnight gave $\operatorname{Ru}_4(\mu-C_2)(\mu-\operatorname{PPh}_2)_2(\operatorname{CO})_{12}$ (10), which contains the ethynediyl dianion $(C_2^{2^-})$ [19]. This complex shows the unusual structural feature of a nearly planar $\operatorname{Ru}_2C_2\operatorname{Ru}_2$ fragment (dihedral between Ru_2C_2 planes 168.5°), which contrasts with the angle of ca. 90° found in the well-known $\operatorname{Co}_2(\mu-\operatorname{RC}_2\operatorname{R}')(\operatorname{CO})_6$ complexes [20]. Complex 10 has also been isolated as one product from pyrolysis of 1, being formed by reaction with the evolved CO; the yields of side products are reduced if the reaction is carried out with a nitrogen purge.

Reactions with tertiary phosphines or phosphites. Reactions of 2 with tertiary phosphines or phosphites under various conditions reveal that attack can occur at least three of the metal sites (assuming that the products represent the site of first attack) (Scheme 7) [21]. The thermal reaction between 2 and $P(OEt)_3$ gave three isomers of complex 16, together with the disubstituted complex 17. In acetone, 16a, 16b and 17 were formed. Substitution with the aid of Me₃NO in acetone gave 16a and 16b. A similar but unstable disubstituted complex was obtained in reactions with PMe₂Ph. No evidence was obtained for thermal interconversion of the various isomers, of which 16a, 16c, and 17 were characterised by X-ray crystallography. An interesting structural feature of these complexes is the opening of the wings of the 'swallow' cluster as substitution proceeds: the interplanar angles are 21.7 and 48.7° for 16a, 25.6 and 46.2° for 16c, and 13.7 and 124.9° for 17.

In contrast, the reactions of PAr₃ (Ar=Ph, tol) with 2 afforded the monosubstituted complexes 18, which rearrange on heating to form the μ -alkyne derivatives 19 (Scheme 8) [22]. The reaction with P(tol)₃ enabled us to determine the final location of the various aryl groups: the μ -PPh group thus originates from the original μ -PPh₂ ligand, while one of the tolyl groups migrates to C^β to give the alkyne. The mild reaction conditions single out this reaction as one of the most facile and extensive set of C-P bond breaking and C-C bond forming reactions yet described for a metal cluster system. The conditions are less forcing than those employed for the synthesis of Ru₅(μ_5 -C₆H₄)(μ_4 -PPh)(CO)₁₃ (20), involving pyrolysis of Ru₃(CO)₁₁(PPh₃) in refluxing toluene for 18 h [23], and indicates considerable activation of the ligands attached to the Ru₅ cluster.



Scheme 7. Reaction of 2 with P(OEt)₃.



(2)

(18) R= Ph,tol



(19) R=Ph, tol

Scheme 8. Reactions of 1 with PPh₃ and P(p-tolyl)₃.

Reactions with hydrogen. One of the first reactions of 2 to be described was that with dihydrogen (Scheme 6). Under pressure (10 atm, 25°C), partial degradation to the tetranuclear cluster 21 occurred, the fifth metal atom being recovered as



(20)





 $\operatorname{Ru}_4(\mu-H)_4(\operatorname{CO})_{12}$ [24,25]. In 21, addition of H to C^β has given an alkyne, which is attached to the Ru₃ cluster in the usual $\mu_3, \eta^2(\bot)$ fashion. The PPh₂ group bridges a non-bonded Ru-Ru vector, while each Ru-Ru bond of the Ru₃ triangle is bridged by a hydrogen atom.

Under milder conditions (1 atm, 80 °C, 18 h), an unusual sequence of three additions to 2, each of 2H, occurs, to give complexes 22, 23 and 24 (Scheme 6) [25,26]. In these the C₂PPh₂ ligand is successively converted into phosphino-vinylidene, phosphino-methylidyne and (methylphosphine + carbide), with concomitant addition of H atoms to the metal framework. In 22, the Ru₅ core is little changed from that found in 2, while in 23 and 24, the metal framework forms a square pyramid, the μ_4 -C atom in 23 moving to be incorporated in the familiar Ru₅C cluster in 24 when the C^{α}-C^{β} bond is finally broken. Only the formation of 22 from 2 is reversible on heating. The unusual reduction of a C=C triple bond to (C + CH₃) has formal parallels in the formation of Ru₆C(CO)₁₆(CNBu^t) from Ru₅(μ_5 -CNBu^t)(CO)₁₄(CNBu^t) (25) [27] by cleavage of the C=N triple bond (with loss of t-butyl isocyanate) [28], and of Ru₆C(CO)₁₇ from Ru₃(CO)₁₂ [29], by conversion of 2CO to (C + CO₂).

Auration of 2. Addition of $(Au_2(PPh_3)_2)$ to 2 afforded the heptanuclear cluster $Au_3Ru_5(\mu_5-C_2PPh_2)(\mu-PPh_2)(CO)_{12}(PPh_3)_2$ (26), which does not appear to be structurally related to any of the products (or likely intermediates) obtained in reactions between 2 and the isolobal H₂ molecule [30]. The same gold complex can be obtained by addition of AuCl(PPh₃) to an anionic species formed by sodium reduction of 2. The analogous Ag₂Ru₅ cluster was formed in the reaction of 2, after reduction with K[HBBu^s₃], with Ag{C₅(CO₂Me)₅}(PPh₃). Derivatisation of 26 by replacement of CO with P(OEt)₃ was necessary to obtain X-ray quality crystals; in the event, three complexes were obtained from this reaction, of which only one, 27, afforded suitable crystals. We think that replacements of both Ru-bound CO and Au-bound PPh₃ occur (Scheme 9), the spectroscopic properties of the other two



Scheme 9. Possible sites of substitution by P(OEt)₃ in 26.

substitution products being consistent with the illustrated structures. Worthy of note is that addition of the 2e ligand $Au_2(PPh_3)_2$ has resulted in cleavage of one Ru-Ru bond, as expected; the Ru₅ core is now a spiked rhombus, similar to that found in Ru₅(μ_4 -C₂Prⁱ){ μ_4 -NC(O)NCPh₂}(μ -PPh₂)(CO)₁₃ (28; Scheme 3) [31]. Consideration of the overall structure, however, permits its description as a Ru/AuRu₂/Au trigonal bipyramid edge-bridged by two Ru atoms and the μ -PPh₂ group. Comparison with 2 shows that the phosphino-acetylide group has rotated by 90° with respect to the central Ru₃ triangle in 2 (cf. A and B, respectively).





A minor product obtained from the reaction of AuCl(PPh₃) with the species formed by reduction (K[HBBu^s₃]) of 2 was the vinylidene AuRu₅{ μ_5 -CCH(PPh₂)} (μ -PPh₂)(CO)₁₃(PPh₃) (29). In this case, addition of H⁻ to C_β, followed by auration of the resulting anion with [Au(PPh₃)]⁺, gives the isolobal analogue of 22 [32].

Addition of HX (X=Cl, Br, I). As described above, addition of two 2e donors (CO) resulted in cleavage of two Ru-Ru bonds and formation of a 'scorpion' core.



Scheme 10. Reactions of 2 with HX(X = Cl, Br or I) and $AuCl(PPh_3)$.

Addition of other 4e ligand combinations resulted in formation of similar cluster geometries (Scheme 10). Thus, the reaction of 2 with HCl or HBr gave orange $\operatorname{Ru}_5(\mu-H)(\mu_5-C_2PPh_2)(\mu-PPh_2)(\mu-X)(CO)_{13}$ (30, X = Cl or Br), in which the halogen atom bridges the scorpion's tail, and the proton one edge of the body [33]. With HI, the purple complex 31 containing one less CO molecule is obtained. In this complex, the iodine now bridges three Ru atoms (although these do not form a fully bonded triangle) and acts as a 5e donor. It is likely that 31 was formed from an iodo analogue of 30 by CO loss, as was found previously in reaction of Ru₃(μ -H)(μ -I)(CO)₁₀ [34].

The spectroscopic properties of the orange complex $AuRu_5(\mu_5-C_2PPh_2)(\mu-PPh_2)(\mu-Cl)(CO)_{13}(PPh_3)$ (32), obtained from 2 and $AuCl(PPh_3)$, suggest that it has a similar structure to that of 30 (X = Cl) with the H replaced by the isolobal $Au(PPh_3)$ group [32].

The reactions of 2 which have been described above, showing a ready transformation between structural types, usually occur at ≤ 40 °C, and this may be contrasted with the considerably higher temperatures required previously to effect breaking and making of C-H, C-C and C-P bonds on clusters. These reactions have encompassed many of the possible rearrangements of the ligand C₂(PPh₂)₂ involving C-H, C-C and C-P bond-breaking and -forming reactions, as summarised in Scheme 11. In the next sections are described some reactions of 2 with various unsaturated hydrocarbons which have led to more extensive involvement of



Scheme 11. Rearrangements of dppa on Ru clusters (numbers in **bold** indicate complexes containing these fragments).



(33, X = CI, Br)

the C_2 fragment in the formation of new ligands. Although the C_2 ligand in 10 proved to be disappointingly unreactive, possibly because it is sterically protected by the P-Ph and CO groups, complex 2 has been shown to be an effective source of the C_2 ligand in the reactions described below.

Allyl halides. Two isomers, yellow and brown, of composition $\operatorname{Ru}_5 X(CO)_{12}$ (dppa *)(C₃H₅) (X=Cl, Br) were isolated from reactions between 2 and the appropriate allyl halides. We have only succeeded in structurally characterising the orange isomer as $\operatorname{Ru}_5{\{\mu_4-C_2(O)C_3H_5\}}(\mu-PPh_2)_2(\mu-X)(CO)_{11}$ (33) by an X-ray study of the bromo compound [35]. Here three-component condensation of C₂, CO and C₃H₅ has given an unusual organic ligand that acts as a 9e donor to the cluster. It probably best described as a metallated acylvinylidene, being attached to the rhomboidal core through the carbonyl group and the vinylidene; the C=C double bond of the allyl group is coordinated to the fifth metal atom. At some stage, the C₂-PPh₂ bond is broken, various metal-metal bonds being bridged by the resulting PPh₂ and halogen groups.

Ethene. Reactions between ethene and 2 are complex, and ligands containing one, two and three molecules of C_2H_4 have been characterised so far. Although the structural studies were carried out only with these compounds, analogous derivatives were obtained when 1-butene was used in place of ethene in these reactions. Orange $Ru_4\{\mu_4-C_5H_4O(PPh_2)\}(\mu-PPh_2)(CO)_{11}$ (34) contains a spiked triangular Ru_4 core to which is attached the novel phosphinocyclopentenonyl ligand, formed by adition of CO and C_2H_4 to the C_2PPh_2 ligand without breaking of the C-P bond [36]. This ligand acts as a 7e donor, a familiar $3e \mu - \eta^1, \eta^2$ -vinyl unit supplementing the carbonyl and tertiary phosphine moieties.

Two isomers of the pentanuclear complexes $\operatorname{Ru}_5(\mu_4-\operatorname{PPh}_4_3-\operatorname{C}_2(\operatorname{C}_4\operatorname{H}_5))(\mu-\operatorname{PPh}_2)(\operatorname{CO})_{12}$ were separated by TLC, but are in equilibrium in cyclohexane solution [36]. The brown isomer has structure 35, in which two $\operatorname{C}_2\operatorname{H}_4$ molecules have coupled to the C_2 fragment to give a $\operatorname{CC}(\operatorname{C}_2\operatorname{H}_2)(\operatorname{C}_2\operatorname{H}_3)$ ligand, best formulated as a penta-1,2,4-trien-3-ylmethylidyne, which acts as a 5e donor to three of the metal atoms. The cluster is a distorted wing-tip bridged butterfly, of which an open non-planar Ru_4 face is capped by the PPh group, the organic ligand being attached to the other open face.





(34)



Complexes 34 and 35 were obtained from 2 and ethene under pressure. When ethene was passed through a hot solution of 2 in cyclohexane, $\operatorname{Ru}_{5}(\mu_{4}\text{-PPh})(\mu$ -PPh₂)(μ -CO)(CO)₁₀{ η^{5} -C₅H₃Me(CH=CH₂)} (36), containing a 1,3-disubstituted cyclopentadienyl group, was isolated; it was also formed from 35 and C₂H₄ under similar conditions. The metal skeleton is an Ru₅ square pyramid capped by the μ_{4} -PPh group, with a basal edge bridged by the PPh₂ group; the cyclpentadienyl ligand is attached to a basal Ru atom [36].

In summary, reactions with ethene proceed in two distinct ways. First, condensation of one molecule of the olefin with CO and the C_2PPh_2 ligand gives the diphenylphosphinocyclopentenonyl ligand found in 34. The second route affords hydrocarbon ligands, presumably after cleavage of the C-P bond, by attack of two ethene molecules at C_{β} in 2, followed by cyclisation with a third molecule of C_2H_4 to give the substituted C_5 hydrocarbon found in 36. Loss of H_2 has also occurred, possibly in combination with a phenyl group to give benzene; none of the complexes contains cluster-bonded H atoms. It is interesting to note that the formation of a cyclopentadienyl ligand has also been found in the reaction of diphenylacetylene with $Ru_2\{\mu-\eta^1,\eta^2-CPhCCH_2)(\mu-PPh_2)(CO)_6$ [37].



1,3-Butadiene. The green $\operatorname{Ru}_{5}\{\mu_{4}\text{-}CC(PPh_{2})=CHCH=CHMe\}(\mu-PPh_{2})(CO)_{11}$ (37) obtained from 2 and 1,3-butadiene is formed by a variation of the first route, in which electrophilic attack on C^{β} without cleavage of the C-P bond is followed by H-migration and coordination of the diene to two of the metal atoms [38]. The α -carbon is again found to cap the base of an Ru₅ square pyramid. Two other products isolated in similar amounts await crystallographic characterisation at the time of writing.

Cyclopentadienes. Cyclopentadienes reacted with 2 in benzene to give the hexanuclear clusters $Ru_6C(\mu_3-CH)(\mu-PPh_2)_2(CO)_{10}(\eta-C_5R_5)$ (38, R = H or Me) [39]. No surprisingly, perhaps, an $\eta^5-C_5R_5$ ligand is attached to one metal atom. Cleavage of the C-P bond gives a second μ -PPh₂ group, while one carbon of the C₂ moiety becomes a carbido carbon residing in the centre of the Ru₆ octahedron. The second carbon combines with the proton from the cyclic diene to give a CH fragment capping one of the Ru₃ faces.

Conclusions. The variety of reactions exhibited by 2 is quite remarkable, and further experiments revealed that it undergoes reactions with many other organic and inorganic species, most of which appear to involve the C_2 unit. It is apparent that this fragment prefers to react by electrophilic addition to C^{β} , many products retaining the α -carbon in a multi-metal attachment to the cluster. Once again, the increase in reactivity of a small molecule attached to more than one metal atom is manifest, and in the case of 2, may be enhanced by its nestling in the cavity formed by the metal core.

This work has also demonstrated that the metal cores in these complexes are extremely flexible. Indeed, our results suggest that the metal skeleton adjusts to accommodate the organic fragment which is formed, many of the cluster cores being only distantly related to the ideal geometrical arrangements depicted in Fig. 2. This parallels the findings of surface scientists, who observe considerable distortions in surfaces during reactions. The chemistry of a five-atom cluster is more likely to model reactions at a surface than the familiar three-atom clusters, and the richness of the chemistry we are finding, together with the structural diversity of the complexes obtained, augurs well for the future development of this area.

The potential of $C_2(PPh_2)_2$ and related ligands to link both like [40,41] and unlike metal clusters [41], which has only been explored briefly before, is shown by our recent syntheses of molecules such as $\{(OC)_{11}Os_3\}PPh_2C\equiv CPPh_2\{Re_3(\mu-H)_3(CO)_{11}\}, \{Ru_4(\mu-H)_4(CO)_{11}\}_2\{\mu-C_2(PPh_2)_2\}$ and its pyrolysis product $Ru_8(\mu-H)_8\{\mu_4-C_2PPh_2)(\mu-PPh_2)(CO)_{21}$. A complex containing the C₄ ligand, $Ru_6(\mu_6-C_4)(\mu-PPh_2)_2(CO)_{18}$, has been obtained from the diyne $Ph_2PC\equiv CC\equiv CPPh_2$. Many of the resulting complexes are proving to be precursors for larger homo- and hetero-metallic systems.

Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the fine intellectual and experimental contribution of Chris Adams, Michael Liddell and Michael Williams to this work. The determination of molecular structures of the complexes described herein would have been impossible without the dedicated work of Edward Tiekink (Adelaide), Allan White (Perth), and Brian Nicholson (Waikato). Part of this work was supported by grants from the Australian Research Council.

References

- 1 P.E. Garrou, Chem. Rev., 85 (1985) 171.
- 2 W.R. Cullen, D.A. Harbourne, B. Liengme and J.R. Sams, Inorg. Chem., 9 (1970) 702; W.R. Cullen, Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem., 15 (1972) 323.
- 3 C.W. Bradford, R.S. Nyholm, G.J. Gainsford, J.M. Guss, P.R. Ireland and R. Mason, J. Chem. Soc., Commun., (1972) 87; G.J. Gainsford, J.M. Guss, P.R. Ireland, R. Mason, C.W. Bradford and R.S. Nyholm, J. Organomet. Chem., 40 (1972) C70; C.W. Bradford and R.S. Nyholm, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1973) 529.
- 4 A.J. Carty, Pure Appl. Chem., 54 (1982) 113.
- 5 M.I. Bruce, Coord. Chem. Rev., 76 (1987) 1; M.I. Bruce, J.G. Matisons and B.K. Nicholson, J. Organomet. Chem., 247 (1983) 321.
- 6 M.I. Bruce, M.L. Williams, J.M. Patrick and A.H. White, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1985) 1229; M.I. Bruce, B.K. Nicholson and M.L. Williams, Inorg. Synth., 26 (1989) 277.
- 7 J.-C. Daran, E. Cabrera, M.I. Bruce and M.L. Williams, J. Organomet. Chem., 319 (1987) 239.
- 8 J.-C. Daran, O. Kristiansson and Y. Jeannin, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, C, 300 (1985) 943.
- 9 J.-C. Daran, Y. Jeannin and O. Kristiansson, Organometallics, 4 (1985) 1882.
- 10 Yu. L. Slovokhotov and Yu.T. Struchkov, J. Organomet. Chem., 333 (1987) 217.
- 11 D.H. Farrar, P.F. Jackson, B.F.G. Johnson, J. Lewis and J.N. Nicholls, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1981) 415; B.F.G. Johnson, J. Lewis, J.N. Nicholls, I.A. Oxton and P.R. Raithby, ibid., (1982) 289; B.F.G. Johnson, J. Lewis, J.N. Nicholls, J. Puga, P.R. Raithby, M.J. Rosales, M. McPartlin and W. Clegg, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1983) 277.
- 12 K. Natarajan, L. Zsolnai and G. Huttner, J. Organomet. Chem., 209 (1981) 85.
- 13 R.D. Adams, J.E. Babin and M. Tasi, Organometallics, 7 (1988) 503.
- 14 S.A. MacLaughlin, N.J. Taylor and A.J. Carty, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 103 (1981) 2456.
- 15 S.A. MacLaughlin, N.J. Taylor and A.J. Carty, Organometallics, 2 (1983) 1194.
- 16 M.I. Bruce, M.J. Liddell and E.R.T. Tiekink, J. Organomet. Chem., 391 (1990) 81.
- 17 K. Knoll, G. Huttner and L. Zsolnai, J. Organomet. Chem., 312 (1986) C57; K. Knoll, T. Fassler and G. Huttner, ibid., 332 (1987) 309; J.T. Jaeger, A.K. Powell and H. Vahrenkamp, Chem. Ber., 121 (1988) 1729.

- 18 M.I. Bruce and M.L. Williams, J. Organomet. Chem., 282 (1985) C11.
- 19 M.I. Bruce, M.R. Snow, E.R.T. Tiekink and M.L. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1986) 701.
- 20 F. Baert, A. Guelzin, and P. Coppens, Acta Crystallogr. B, 40 (1984) 590.
- 21 M.J. Liddell, E.R.T. Tiekink, B.W. Skelton and A.H. White, unpublished.
- 22 M.J. Liddell, B.W. Skelton and A.H. White, unpublished.
- 23 S.A.R. Knox, B.R. Lloyd, A.G. Orpen, J.M. Vinas and M. Weber, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1987) 1498.
- 24 M.I. Bruce, M.L. Williams, B.W. Skelton and A.H. White, J. Organomet. Chem., 282 (1985) C53.
- 25 M.I. Bruce, M.L. Williams, B.W. Skelton and A.H. White, J. Organomet. Chem., 369 (1989) 393.
- 26 M.I. Bruce, B.W. Skelton, A.H. White and M.L. Williams, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1985) 744.
- 27 M.I. Bruce, J.G. Matisons, J.R. Rodgers and R.C. Wallis, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1981) 1070.
- 28 R.D. Adams, P. Mathur and B.E. Segmuller, Organometallics, 2 (1983) 1258.
- 29 B.F.G. Johnson, R.D. Johnston and J. Lewis, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1967) 1057; C.R. Eady, B.F.G. Johnson and J. Lewis, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., (1975) 2606.
- 30 M.I. Bruce, M.J. Liddell and B.K. Nicholson, Organometallics, in press.
- 31 D. Nucciarone, N.J. Taylor and A.J. Carty, Organometallics, 5 (1986) 2565.
- 32 J.W. Lauher and K.W. Wald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 103 (1981) 7648.
- 33 M.I. Bruce, M.J. Liddell and B.K. Nicholson, Appl. Organomet. Chem., in press.
- 34. C.E. Kampe, N.M. Boag, C.B. Knobler and H.D. Kaesz, Inorg. Chem., 23 (1984) 1390; S-H. Han, G.L. Geoffroy, B.D. Dombek and A.L. Rheingold, ibid., 27 (1988) 4355.
- 35 M.J. Liddell and B.K. Nicholson, unpublished.
- 36 M.J. Liddell, B.W. Skelton and A.H. White, unpublished.
- 37 S.M. Randall, N.J. Taylor, A.J. Carty, T.B. Haddah and P.H. Dixneuf, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., (1988) 870.
- 38 C.J. Adams, B.W. Skelton and A.H. White, unpublished.
- 39 C.J. Adams, B.W. Skelton and A.H. White, unpublished.
- 40 E. Sappa, J. Organomet. Chem., 352 (1988) 327.
- 41 C.J. Adams and E.R.T. Tiekink, unpublished.